

December 20, 2004

FOLEY & LARDNER
WASHINGTON HARBOUR
3000 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 500
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20007-5143
202.672.5300 TEL
202.672.5399 FAX
www.foleylardner.com

WRITER'S DIRECT LINE 202.672.5485 wellis@foley.com EMAIL

CLIENT/MATTER NUMBER 084561-0122

VIA E-MAIL ONLY

Mark Landesmann BuyerLeverage 300 Hamilton Avenue 4th Floor Palo Alto, CA 94301

Re:

U.S. Patent Application No.: 10

10/727,535

Filing Date: 12/05/2003

Title: BUYER PROFILE MANAGEMENT

ventor(s): Mark LANDESMANN

Our Ref.: 084561-0122

Dear Mark:

Enclosed is a copy of the first Office Action from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, dated December 14, 2004, and relating to the above-identified patent application.

A response to this Office Action must be prepared and filed by March 14, 2005 in order to avoid the payment of extension fees. We shall prepare and file an appropriate response to the Office Action by the due date. However, before we do, please review these documents and provide us with your comments regarding the specific differences between the invention and the teachings suggested by the cited prior art. If you have any suggestions with respect to amending the claims to distinguish the invention from the prior art, feel free to include them.

Please note that we are still under a duty of disclosure to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, so if you or any of the inventors have become aware of any additional information relevant to the invention, please let us know.

Please note that with the patent term now being 20 years from the date of filing, the patent term may be extended if the PTO causes a delay in patent issuance. However, if the Applicant causes a delay in prosecution, any patent term extension may be diminished by such delay. An example of such delay caused by Applicant is an "extension of time" necessitated by failure to respond within the time period set by the Examiner in an office action. Thus, it is essential that your comments relative to the references be received as soon as possible so that we may have sufficient time in which to prepare and file a response within the time deadline set by

002.1135522.1

FOLEY: LARDNER

Mark Landesmann BuyerLeverage Page 2

the Patent Office.

Of course, if you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

William T. Ellis

WTE/ba Enclosure(s)



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/727,535	12/05/2003	Mark Landesmann	084561-0122	7340	
22428 75	90 12/14/2004		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND	LARDNER		LE, KH	ANH H	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET	TNW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON			3622		
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	160
	Office Aption Commons	10/727,535	LANDESMANN, MARK	· '
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Khanh H. Le	3622	
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate in the property of the propert	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	S
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failun Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	138(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR a, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. YTHS from the mailing date of this communible of the communib	nication.
Status				
. 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 D	ecember 2003 and April 5	<u>5, 2004</u> .	
2 a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
•	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositio	on of Claims		•	
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application		,	
	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•		
5)□ (Claim(s) is/are allowed.			*
6)🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application	on Papers			
9)□ 1	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır		
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		by the Examiner	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
!	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	l21(d).
11)[] T	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[] All b)			
	 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	pplication No	
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stage	•
	application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
		•		
Attachment(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) T Interview C	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔯 Inform Paper	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 12/5/03.	5) Notice of in	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Tra				

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the original application and the Preliminary Amendment dated April 5, 2004. The amended specifications have been entered Claims 1-22 are pending with claims 1, 21,22 being independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 12-14, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 12, 13, 14 and 19 should be dependent on claims 11, 11, 10, and 18 respectively to have proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims Rejections. 35 U.S.C. 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldhaber, US 5855008, hereinafter Goldhaber in view of Day, US 6484146, hereinafter Day.

Art Unit: 3622

Goldhaber discloses:

A consumer driven system (i.e. consumer owns the profiles : col 14 l. 56-60; col 6 l. 28-35; consumer shares these profiles as desired: col 8 l. 40-57), via trading houses (see col 19-20), for the benefit of both consumers and advertisers (col 4 l. 25-31).

The benefit to consumers is to receive competing content/ads customized to their needs/preferences. To advertisers, use of consumer profiles allow reaching more willing customers whose attention has been secured w/ relevant customized ads/offers.

GOLDHABER further discloses actual purchase histories (or proofs of purchases, hereinafter, "POP's") are known to be valuable for advertisers: to this end advertisers have used point of sale tracking (see GOLDHABER., col 6 l. 28-35), and GOLDHABER.'s invention includes on-line POP's (col 6 l. 50-65; col 13-20; col 7 l. 31-32).

GOLDHABER discloses user voluntary submission of profiles in exchange of a benefit from plural competing independent providers(col 8 l. 1-18; receipt of targeted information, specialized targeted ads (col 6 l. 28-35; col 8 l. 22-40), payments for viewing ads); complementing the profile by allowing tracking of on-line behavior including on-line transactions (POP's) (col 6 l. 50-65; col 13-20; col 7 l. 31-32) and tracking of other habits (col 6 l. 50-65); interactive user editing/ deletion of transaction records from the profile (col 6 l. 50-65); protection of privacy (col 7 l. 62-67; col 14 l. 137 -39); interacting with presented ads (col. 16 l. 17-20); rating of presented ads (col. 13 l. 50-51); matching of consumers to advertisers criteria (col 14 l. 30-46); consumer profiles stored at their PC or in another database of the on-line system (col 14 l. 47-54); only information matched above certain threshold set by the consumer is delivered (col 14 l. 56-62); coupons and discount offers to induce buying (col 3 l. 30-45); internet advantages (col 3 l. 48-55); credit histories as commodities (col 20 l. 38-55).

Summary of Day:

Art Unit: 3622

Day discloses presenting specialized benefits based on actual purchasing behavior information (abstract). Day teaches the desirability of knowing who buys from competitors so to provide competitive offers (col. 1 l. 50-55; col.2 l. 13-15; col. 2 l. 12+). Day also discloses the desirability of using actual purchasing records, other than at the electronic point of sales, in order to achieve that relevant targeting goal (col. 1 l. 60-col.2 l. 2).

Thus as to claims 1, 6-7 GOLDHABER discloses

A computer-implemented advertising method, and program comprising: storing a first data set relating to the purchases of a buyer entity in a storage (col 6 l. 50-65; col 13-20; col 7 l. 31-32; col 6 l. 50-65);

receiving profile-management-information from the buyer entity(col 6 l. 50-65; col 13-20; col 7 l. 31-32);

identifying a second data set based on the first data set and based on the profile-management-information (col 14 l. 30-46).

GOLDHABER does not specifically disclose but Day does discloses calculating at least one score based on information in the second data set (see at least col. 4 line 18-3: "targeted parameter" is a score);

distributing preferential incentive offers to said buyer entity, based on the score (see at least col. 4 line 18-31)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to add Day ads matching method based on scores to GOLDHABER's adtargeting method to improve this latter with further implementation details.

As to claims 2-4, and 8, GOLDHABER discloses

Art Unit: 3622

wherein said profile-management-information is deletion-information (see at least col. 6 lines 50-65), and wherein the second data set (e.g. allowed data) is identified by separating third data (e.g. non-allowed data) from the first data set based on the deletion-information;

wherein said profile-management-information contains enhancement permissions, and wherein the second data set is identified by augmenting the first data set based on fourth data from a third party information source (see at least col. 6 lines 50-65, col. 20 line 38-55: credit histories);

wherein a group of multiple incentive offers are stored in a database, information relating to at least one web site visit(see at least col. 6 lines 50-65), is used to select at least one incentive offer from said group, and said at least one incentive offer is displayed to the buyer entity;

and wherein the buyer entity disqualifies some of its purchase records from being used for calculating said score (see at least col. 6 lines 50-65).

As to claim 5, Official Notice is taken that incentives given conditioned on the use of certain credit cards are well-known to promote the use of such cards. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to add a credit card use condition to the incentives method of GOLDHABER/DAY for the abovementioned advantage.

As to claim 9-10, GOLDHABER does not specifically disclose but Day discloses receiving additional data associated with the buyer entity; associated with previous incentive responses by said buyer entity;

Art Unit: 3622

automatically updating the at least one score associated with the buyer entity, based on the additional data; and

changing at least one aspect of the incentives based on the at least one updated score

(see at least col. 4 lines 18-31, "targeting parameter" is interpreted as a calculated score based on data received indirectly from the buyer based on the quantity of goods bought, per categories, which is interpreted as "purchase records").

Thus it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to Add DAY's more detailed updated incentives method to GOLDHABER targeted incentive offering method to effect such incentives updating as at least suggested by GOLDHABER (interactive user editing/ deletion of transaction records from the profile: at col 6 1, 50-65).

As to claims 11-17, Day discloses

performing a process, including:

determining a function (see at least col.4 lines 18-31, use of "targeting parameters" to derive an incentive implies use of an certain incentive function or relationship to link the parameter to the incentive) and a budget limit (see at least col. 14 lines 52-56, col. 6 lines 57-60: "maximum limit") of one of the incentives based in part on advertiser information received from an advertiser (see at least col. 4 line 18-31; col. 14 line52-56; col. 6 line 57-60)

receiving newly-submitted purchase records of a plurality of the buyer entities

(see at least col.7 line 66- col. 8 line 37)

determining a group of the buyer entities newly qualified to receive the incentives based on the function, budget limit, and newly-

Art Unit: 3622

submitted purchase records (see at least col. 4 line 18-31; col. 14 line52-56; col. 6 line 57-60)

for each buyer entity in a subgroup comprising at least one buyer entity of the group of buyer entities, individually calculating an amount for at least one of the incentives by applying the at least one score to the function (see at least col. 4 line 18-31; col. 14 line52-56; col. 6 line 57-60: Day's disclosure of monitoring of redemption of offers and modifying the offers based on the new data is interpreted as the earlier score (based e.g. on category) is being updated so that offers may be modified based on the new data.),

automatically distributing the at least one of the incentives to the buyer entity, and automatically repeating the process until the budget limit for the advertiser is met (see at least col. 14 lines 52-56, col. 6 lines 57-60: "maximum limit").

Thus it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to add DAY's updated incentives methods based on new records as applied to existing/new consumers, as above-discussed, to GOLDHABER's incentive offering method to make this latter's incentive matching system more comprehensive.

As to claims 18-19, both GOLDHABER and Day disclose providing an interface to provide access for a plurality of the advertisers to a database of database information related to the buyer entities, the interface receiving queries and providing the database information in response to the queries, such that decisions are capable of being made regarding the incentive: based on the database information (see at least Day, abstract, Fig. 5 and associated text).

Art Unit: 3622

As to claim 20, GOLDHABER discloses a different personalized web site is displayed to each of a plurality of the buyer entities, and individually ranks a plurality of the incentives based at least in part on an amount of the incentives (see at least Figs. 4 and 11 and associated text).

Claims 21-22 are combinations of claims 1, 8-9, 4, and 11-17. Each limitation is rejected as above discussed. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine all the above elements to make a more comprehensive system.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh H. Le whose telephone number is 703-305-0571. The Examiner works a part-time schedule and can normally be reached on Tuesday-Wednesday 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on 703-305-8469. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

December 8, 2004

KH(_

KHL

JAMÉS W. MYHRE PRIMARY EXAMINES

MODIFIED PTO/88/08 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademort Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paparwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of Information unless it contains a valid OMB control

number. Substitute for form 14483/PTO Complete II Known Application Number INFORMATION DISCLOSURE Unassigned STATEMENT BY APPLICANT DEC 0.5 2003 Merit LANDESWANN Filing Dato First Named Inventor Date Submitted: DEC 0 5 20 Group Art Unit Sary) Examiner Name Unassignod (use as many sheets as necessary) Unassigned Sheet Attorney Docket Number 084581-0122

Examiner Cito		U.S. Potent Document			Date of Publication of	Pages, Columns, Lines,
		Numbar	Kind Code ² (#	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	ument Passages or Releven
CHI	A1	5,327,508	1	DEATON et al.	07-1897	
	A2	5,592,580		DEATON of al.	01-1997	
1	A3	5,642,485		DEATON at pl.	08-1997	
	A4	5,844,723		DEATON of al.	07-1997	
	AB	5,649,114		DEATON et al.	07-1997	
	A8	5,724,521		DEDRICK	03-1998	
	A7	5,774,870		STOREY	08-1998	
	AĐ	5,784,207		WALKER of pl.	08-1998	
	A9	5,797,127		WALKER of al.	08-1998	
	A10	5,822,735		De LAPA et al.	10-1998	
	A11	5,855,008		GOLDHABER at al.	12-1998	
	A12	5,884,277		KHOSLA	03-1999	
	A13	5,897,620		WALKER et al.	04-1899	
	A14	5,924,080		НОВИНОЕ	07-1999	
	A15	5,970,469		SCROGGIE et al.	10-1999	
	A18	6,012,051		SAMMON, Jr. ot al.	01-2000	•
	A17	6,014,638		BURGE et al.	01-2000	
	A18	6,049,777	I	SHEENA et al.	04-2000	
	A19	6,055,513		KATZ et al.	04-2000	
	A20	8,055,573		GARDENSWARTZ et al.	04-2000	
	A21	8,061,880		EGGLESTON of al.	05-2000	
	A22	6,094,677		CAPEK et al.	07-2000	
	A23	6,134,532		LAZARUS et al.	10-2000	
	A24	6,185,541		SCROGGIE et al.	03-2001	
	A25	6,233,978	81	TUZHILIN	05-2001	
	A26	6,298,330	8	GARDENSWARTZ et al.	10-2001	
	A27	6,327,574	81	KRAMER et al.	12-2001	
	A28	6,332,126	B1	PEIRCE et al.	12-2001	
	A29	8,415,282	81	WALKER of al.	07-2002	
A	A30	8,434,534	81	WALKER of al.	08-2002	

Foreign Patent Documents							
Exeminer	Cite	Fo	rolan Patent C		Mame of Patontca or	Date of Publication of Cited Document	Pegeo, Columns, Lines. Where Retevent
Intibals*	No."	Office ³	Number⁴	Kind Code (if bns:sn)	Applicant of Cited Documents	MM-OD-YYYY	Possogas or Relavant Physica Appoor Y ⁶
	A31	MO	00/82184		NG	10-2000	
	<u></u>				<u> </u>	L	

		
Examiner Signature Class	Date Considered	12/4/04

ENAMINGER: Inchal II robustace considered, whether or not obstants in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw the through cliebten if not in conformance and not considered. Include cuty of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Unique diction designation number. ³See cliented Minds of U.S. Petent Decuments. ³Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-bater code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴Fer Japanere potent decument, the Indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the scale number of the potent document. ⁴Mind of document by the copyrighted symbol as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶Applicant to be placed a exact mark have if English tanguage Transbition to attacked.

Burdon Hour Sentemant: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will very depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the onterink of time you are required to complete this form chauld be sent to the Chief Internation Chier, U.S. Potent and Tresomant Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissionar for Patients, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

MODIFIED PTO/SB/08 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control

Substitute for form 14498/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

Date Submitted: DEC 0 5 2003

(use as many sheets as necessary) Sheet 2 of

Complete If Known . **Application Number** Unassigned UEC 0 5 2003 Mark LANDESMANN Filing Date First Named Inventor Group Art Unit Unassigned **Examiner Name** Unassigned Attomey Docket Number 084561-0122

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	_
Exeminer Initials*	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Т
V	A32	Permission Marketing by Seth Godin, Simon and Schuster, copyright 1999, chapter 10	
	A33	Permission Marketing: the Way to make advertising work again (Interactive Marketing (excerpt from 'Permission marketing: Turning Strangers into Friends and Friends Into Customers') Dialog # 01777383, File #570, by Hoke Communications Inc., May 199	
	A34	*Fleet financial banks on date warehouse, Target Marketing, Philadelphia, November 1998.	
	A35	Bayesian Model Averaging: A Tutorial (with discussion) (308k bytes) Corrected version of the Statistical Science 14:4, 382-417 article Jennifer A. Hoeting et al., November 1999 downloaded July 22, 2002	+
	A38	Privacy fears raised by DoubleClick database plans By Courtney Macavinta, January 25, 2000, downloaded from http://news.com.com/2100-1023-236092.html?tag=m ., 08/08/20	T
	A37	Privacy Activists File DoubleClick Complaint, by Keith Perine, the Industry Standard, February 10, 2000	†
	A38	Data Mining: Staking a Claim on Your Privacy, January 1998, downloaded from http://www.ipc.on.ca/english/pubpres/papers/datamine.htm, 8/0/02	-
1	A39	Information technology and the privacy of the individual, The Role of Privacy in Modern Society, by Dave Redell, by Dave Redell, September 23, 1992 downlanded from http://home.pacbell.net/webright/personal/acm.text,	

Examiner Signature	Chough 2	Date Considered	(2/4/04
EXAMINER:	tritial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609	Draw line through citation if	not in confirmance and and

considered. Include copy of this form with need communication to applicant.

¹ Unique citation designation number. ²See attriched Kinds of U.S. Petent Documents. ³Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). *For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the seriel number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. *Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Tung will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are regulated to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Tradement Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination 10/727,535 LANDESMANN, MARK Notice of References Cited Examiner Art Unit Page 1 of 1 3622 Khanh H. Le U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code Date Name Classification MM-YYYY US-5,855,008 12-1998 Goldhaber et al. 705/14 Α 11-2002 Day et al. 705/14 US-6,484,146 В С US-US-D US-Ε US-F US-G н US-USį US-J US-Κ US-М US-FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS Document Number Date Name Country Classification Country Code-Number-Kind Code MM-YYYY Ν 0 Р Q R s T **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS** Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages) W

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 20041208